

RECEIVED

FEB 04 2008

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held on January 10, 2008, at 7 p.m. at the Ramona Community Center at 434 Aqua Lane.

San Diego County
DEPT. OF PLANNING & LAND USE

In Attendance:	Chad Anderson	Chris Anderson	Torry Brean
	Matt Deskovick	Carolyn Dorroh	Kathy S. Finley
	Dennis Grimes	Kristi Mansolf	Vivian Osborn
	Helene Radzik	Dennis Sprong	Luauna Stines
	Angus Tobiason		

Excused Absence: Kathy L. Finley, Andrew Simmons

Helene Radzik, Vice Chair of the RCPG, acted as the Chair of the Meeting. Kristi Mansolf, Secretary of the RCPG, acted as the Secretary of the meeting.

ITEM 1: The Chair Called the Meeting to Order at 7:07 p.m.

ITEM 2: Pledge of Allegiance

ITEM 3: Seating of New Member, Chad Anderson – in Seat #14 Left Vacant by Beverly Ragsdale

The Chair acknowledged and welcomed the new member, Chad Anderson.

ITEM 4: The Secretary Determine a Quorum was Present

ITEM 5: LIST OF ABSENTEES FOR THIS MEETING. Determination of Excused and Unexcused Absences by the RCPG – Secretary Will Read Record Separately from the Minutes – Kathy L. Finley was ill and Andrew Simmons was out of the area on business.

ITEM 6: Approval of Order of the Agenda (Action)

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA.

Motion approved with **no objections**, and Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

ITEM 7: Roberts Rules of Order – Rules of Parliamentary Procedure to be Followed during Meeting. The Brown Act – General Information on What it is and How it Applies to the RCPG (Chair)

The Chair said Roberts Rules of Order govern how the RCPG meetings are conducted. The Brown Act delineates how the public participates.

ITEM 8: ANNOUNCEMENTS & Correspondence Received (Chair)
A. Draft EIR for Sunrise Powerlink Public review begins 1-8-08 and ends 4-08. Hearing to be Held in Ramona – Public Participation Hearing is scheduled at: Charles Nunn Performing Arts Center, 1521 Hanson Lane, Ramona, CA 92065, Tuesday, Feb. 26, 2008,

7:00 p.m. Available online:

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/Environment/info/aspen/sunrise/toc-deir.htm>

The SunrisePowerlink project information was announced.

The Chair announced that the RCPG received a copy of the comments from DPLU regarding the Spitsbergen fire protection plan.

The RCPG received a copy of the final 2030 Regional Transportation Plan, dated November, 2007. Please ask the Chair for copies.

The Chair was asked by George Ragsdale if she would like boxes of documents Beverly had accumulated. She took several boxes and is going through them. Some of the documents are RCPG organizational documents dating back to 1975. There are also Town Center documents. They are still relevant today. She will provide these to the Town Center Group after they start meeting.

ITEM 9: NON-AGENDA ITEMS Presentations from Public on Land Issues not on Current Agenda (No Presentations on Ongoing Projects – These Must be Agendized)

Speaker: Luauna Stines, Ramona Resident

Ms. Stines said there is a new business by McDonalds. It is a video store that appears to be carrying adult pornography, operating next to where children are playing.

Ms. Dorroh said adult entertainment businesses belong in industrial zoning.

There was a request to put this item on the next RCPG agenda.

ITEM 10: Presentation by Henry Tirado, Crime Prevention Specialist, Ramona Sheriff Substation, on the Neighborhood Watch Group Program (Discussion may include fire-related issues – Discussion and Possible Action) – Mr. Tirado was not in attendance.

ITEM 11: Presentation by the Chelsea Investment Corporation, Builders and Managers of High Quality Affordable Housing, on Who They Are, their Products and Potential Sites in Ramona. Contact is Chris Arthur (Discussion and Possible Action)

Bill Hedenkamp is an architect for the Chelsea Investment Corporation. He said they take a team approach to their projects – they conceive them, design them, work with the community, build them and keep them for 55 years. Chelsea has received awards for the best housing projects in San Diego. Their sites are high security. The Chelsea team wants to hear from what the RCPG concerns are for affordable housing. They have no specific sites in mind yet.

Chris Arthur said one site being considered is at the end of 14th St. Another site to be considered may be at Pala and La Brea behind Kmart.

The Chair said Nickel Creek developers backed out of the project on 14th St. One attractive thing about the Nickel Creek proposal was that the buildings would promote home ownership.

Mr. Hedenkamp said Chelsea would come back to the community several times during the process. They conduct background checks on their residents. Chelsea has zero tolerance policies. They provide working class housing. Residents are required to have a social security number. Chelsea doesn't build Section 8 housing.

Mr. Arthur said the range of affordability for the units is 30 to 60 percent of the median income for a family of 4.

Mr. Hedenkamp said a market study would have to be done to determine median income.

Mr. Brean said work force housing is good, but jobs don't exist locally which puts a burden on the roads. He feels Chelsea is a reputable corporation. Ramona doesn't need more apartments.

Mr. Tobiason said there is little industry in Ramona. The bus lines run once an hour. There isn't enough parking in apartment complexes and residents have to park at places like Albertsons. The County keeps changing the name of this type of development – first it is smart growth, next it is mixed use. We want to see the community stay rural. There is a lot of crime by the apartments. He feels apartments should be built on 2 acre sites and represent the community. Ramona needs senior housing.

Mr. Hedenkamp said they build 3 story buildings with 30 units to the acre. For a project recently completed in Chula Vista by Chelsea, he said the original community had the same concerns as the RCPG has – who will be the residents? What will they do?

Ms. Dorroh asked if the architectural styles Chelsea uses will reflect the community?

Mr. Hedenkamp said it would, and he invited everyone to come and look at the project. The facilities are well managed and there is full time maintenance staff.

Ms. Dorroh asked if there are recreational facilities for kids and teens?

Mr. Hedenkamp said they programs for kids and a computer lab. There is a tot lot for the young and a basketball court on site. Chelsea uses a design group for their recreational facilities. They have created parks and skateboard parks. Swimming pools are only done with developments for 100-200 unit facilities. Chelsea has a development across from Rancho Santa Fe. They have built in areas of Imperial Beach.

Ms. Dorroh said the Santa Maria Creek floodzone runs in the area of 14th St. The Greenway Park is in the area.

Mr. Hedenkamp said in their process, they have a staff planner review projects first. If the project makes sense economically and the RCPG likes it, they will have a project to use as a model.

Ms. Dorroh suggested the Ramona Design Guidelines be reviewed.

Mr. Deskovick said traffic in Ramona is bad. Senior housing will create less traffic impacts.

Mr. Hedenkamp said the apartments are government financed. One car per unit is normal. If a market study is conducted and it is determined there is no demand for their product, they won't be building. The project won't work if people have to commute. They provide housing for emergency medical technicians and teachers. They can accommodate a 3-4 person household. People can't work at McDonalds and afford to live in these apartments. Audits are conducted – their auditors keep track of whom they are renting to. They have to be careful who they rent to.

Mr. Sprong said, regarding the product summary, 2007, locations are listed. He doesn't see Fallbrook listed – Fallbrook is similar to Ramona.

Mr. Hedenkamp said they have only recently been looking at Ramona. When their projects get subsidized, it helps to construct the project. A tenant has to have a job and there is a minimum income requirement. If the tenant fails to meet the criteria, they are out.

Mr. Harington, of the Chelsea team, asked if Santee were similar to Ramona?

Mr. Anderson responded that Santee is more industrial than Ramona.

Ms. Stines said more housing is needed. We are in a dilemma with roads and jobs. She would like to see senior housing built.

Mr. Hedenkamp said access to a hospital is an issue. They have to meet a criteria to receive a subsidy. This criteria includes public transportation and hospitals.

Mr. Grimes wanted to emphasize the need for senior housing. There are no jobs in Ramona and we have traffic issues. The schools are under pressure by the apartments. He asked if Chelsea has developed 2 or 3 story buildings?

Mr. Harington said 5 or 6 of their apartments are senior housing. These facilities are equipped with elevators.

Mr. Grimes said Ramona is under pressure from the County to have high density zoning. The RCPG will not support high density development.

Ms. Mansolf said that when the 1987 Ramona Community Plan was done, apartments were put in Ramona. Perhaps they thought there would be jobs in 20 years, but there aren't. Ramona doesn't need more apartments. A good project for Chelsea would be to revitalize some of our existing apartments.

Mr. Harington said an existing development was revitalized in Chula Vista. Prior to being redone, it received the most complaint phone calls.

The Chair asked the team to put together something to present to the RCPG. If we aren't a good fit for Chelsea, we would like to know why.

ITEM 12: SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

12-A: PARKS (Brean) (Action Item)

**12-A-1: Parks Land Development Ordinance (PLDO) Project Priority List
for 2006-2007 – Consideration will be Given to Existing Project Priority List**

Mr. Brean gave the Parks Subcommittee report. The Subcommittee was unwilling to make a prioritization recommendation without fully understanding which PLDO funds have already been committed. A specific areas of concern is the school field development project that would use up most of the available funds. Mr. Brean said the Subcommittee is unsure what PLDO funds are available. It was believed there are \$470,000 available, but some projects have been approved. We don't know if there is money to use. The school project will cost a lot and have several hurdles.

Ms. Dorroh said to ask the County for the date PLDO funds is scheduled to be paid?

Ms. Anderson said that while she was the chair of the Parks subcommittee, a project was considered that took 6 years to get completed. We need to know how long it will be before funds are released.

It was stated that for the recently adopted Parks Land Development Ordinance, money collected would be used to fund the purchase of Parks land.

Ms. Dorroh said to ask the County which Park lands are to be purchased?

MOTION: TO REQUEST FROM THE COUNTY A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF AVAILABLE PLDO FUNDS FOR RAMONA, A LIST OF PROJECTS WHERE THE FUNDING HAS ALREADY BEEN APPROVED, A DESCRIPTION OF PROJECTS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN FUNDED, AND ANY INFORMATION THAT WOULD PROVIDE A CLEARER UNDERSTANDING OF HOW MUCH FUNDING IS AVAILABLE FOR NEW PROJECTS VERSUS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROJECTS. TO REQUEST A SCHEDULED DATE FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS FOR APPROVED, EXISTING PROJECTS.

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-2**, with Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

MOTION: TO REQUEST AN ACCOUNTING OF NEW PLDO FEES AND HOW THEY WILL BE APPLIED IN RAMONA.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Matt Deskovick, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

12-B-2:2020 COMMUNITY PLAN (Radzik)(No Business)

12-C: WEST (Mansolf) (No Business)

12-D: EAST (Finley) (No Business)

12-E: SOUTH (Stines) (Action Item)

12-E-1: TPM 21109, 3 Lot Split, West Side of Thomas Paine, 100 feet North of Mussey Grade Rd. 7.39 Acres, 2.2 Minimum Lot Size (#17 Estate Development Land Use Designation) Don Ayles, Contact. C Bains, Owner

Mr. Ayles presented the project. The parcel is 7-1/3 acres. There are 2 existing dwellings on the parcel. One more will be added with the proposal. The project will be on public water – there will be no wells. There will be 840 cu. yd. of grading. A storm water plan will be done. At the

west end of the project, drainage is shown. This is a swale during a storm. There are no watercourses on the site. The parcels will be primarily 2 acres. The zoning is currently 2 acres. In the GP Update the property will remain 2 acre zoning.

Ms. Stines gave the South Subcommittee report, where a motion was made to approve the project and it passed. She said the project was a great project.

Ms. Dorroh asked whether or not boulders and oaks would be impacted?

Mr. Ayles said there are some rocks and oaks. Both will be incorporated into the project. On the west side will be open space. There will be a 50 foot buffer setback, on the west side of the project that is not on the project site. There will be a biology map done for the southern portion of the parcel. The boulders and oaks are already impacted. There are no plans to remove any boulders or oaks. There will be a fire hydrant and a turnaround as per the Fire Department's requirements.

Ms. Anderson said she felt this was an appropriate use of the area.

MOTION: TO APPROVE.

Ms. Osborn said the scoping letter is not out yet. She felt it was premature to approve the project.

Ms. Mansolf asked Mr. Ayles what type of environmental document is anticipated and how long the public review period would be?

Mr. Ayles said the environmental document would probably be a mitigated negative declaration, and the public review period may be 20 days.

Ms. Mansolf said the RCPG may not see the project again until it is ready for public review. The time frame may not work out for public review if the review period falls at the wrong time of the month.

Mr. Ayles said the Department of Public Health has signed off.

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Chris Anderson, the Motion **passed 11-0-2-0-2**, with Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

12-F: AHOPE (Osborn) (No Business)

12-G: CUDA (Brean – Acting Chair) (Action Item)

12-G-1:ABC 07-010, Wade Alkhouri, Proprietor. 1140 Main St. Change in Liquor License from 20 to 21

The applicant was not present at the meeting.

Mr. Brean gave the CUDA report. The applicant did not attend the CUDA meeting. A motion was passed to deny the request to upgrade the license from a beer/wine license to a hard liquor license. There are 11 in the area and only 4 are allowed.

Ms. Mansolf said a census tract is a geographic delineation, such as a block area containing 4 or 8 blocks. She isn't sure of the exact size. When the original permit came before the RCPG, the

RCPG denied it, but the Sheriff Department approved it. The permit request was granted and the conditions were the same as now. The permit application says DPLU (the RCPG is making a recommendation to them on the permit), Health and Human Services, and the Sheriff Department all consider the permit. The Sheriff Department has already approved the project. She has heard the Sheriff Department feels the proprietor runs a clean business.

Mr. Brean clarified that the permit is for the sale only of alcohol, not consumption.

Ms. Osborn felt the advertising was not in character with the other tenants in the building.

MOTION: TO DENY THIS PROPOSED LIQUOR LICENSE CHANGE DUE TO THE NUMBER OF SUCH ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE AREA (11) EXCEEDING THE NUMBER ALLOWED (4) IN CENSUS TRACT 208.06. ALSO, THIS ESTABLISHMENT DOES NOT FIT IN WITH COMMUNITY CHARACTER (EXAMPLE: SIGNS IN WINDOWS) OF AREA.

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

12-H: TRANSPORTATION/TRAILS (Simmons) (The Following Items will be Continued to February 1, 2008 Meeting)

12-H-1: Department of Parks and Recreation, Community Trails Master Plan/County Trails Program – Possible Update of Ramona Community Trails and Pathways Plan to be Considered

12-H-2: Reflection of Circulation Elements with Ramona, Post Witch Creek Fire, as it Pertains to Montecito Ranch

12-H-3: Consideration of Possible Evacuation Routes to add to the Ramona Community Protection and Evacuation Plan

12-H-4: Consideration of Power Pole Master Plan – Identification of SDG&E Power Poles that are Located in the Road Right of Way and are a Danger to Drivers. An Ad Hoc Committee May Need to Be Formed to Address this Issue (Ongoing Item from 7-5-07)

12-I: DESIGN REVIEW (Anderson) – Update on Projects Reviewed by the Design Review Board

Chris Anderson reported on the Design Review Board meeting. Barry Schwartz brought up the concept of shelter in place and asked that this item be placed on the next agenda. Rancho Santa Fe applies this concept, in part, for consideration of types of landscaping material. This concept could be applied in the Town Center where buffers could be made using the same type of plants to help keep fires from burning into the Town Center. They obtained the paperwork to discuss a list of plants that would be preferred for standards. They are trying to learn about tools to stop a fire from spreading.

The Ramona Fire Department improvements, on Dye Rd., were approved. A permanent structure is proposed to house fire personnel to replace the temporary existing one.

Mi Casa Reveles Restaurant and 4U Auto Sales did not show. The Dog Wash project was approved.

Rerock is still open and doing business even though they are under a cease and desist order. Design Review granted a waiver and the County denied the waiver.

ITEM 13: Other Business

A. Sunrise Powerlink Alliance, Consideration of Sending a Letter to the Alliance Requesting the RCPG become a Member Group (Discussion and Possible Action)

Ms. Dorroh said that there are 27 project alternatives in the Draft EIR for the project. One alternative is the no project alternative. An upgrade to modern technology is also included. The route has been moved going through Ramona. At the SDCE it is being proposed to go underground. There are 32 unmitigable impacts.

Ms. Anderson reminded the RCPG that we rejected the project. She knows of someone at Moretti Farms who said the map shows a route going through her property. In the EIR it states that these transmission line easements are 300 feet, not 100 feet. This would allow 3 power lines, not just 1. They are taking route roads by eminent domain. They are proposing to go through barns and wells.

Mr. Tobiason said that we all use electricity and so it is hard to condemn. Someday we may need it.

Ms. Mansolf said that we need local, renewable energy with local power generation. The project can have energy generated in Mexico, produced with less stringent air quality standards, transmitted to Los Angeles with transmission lines going through San Diego County. The project going from the desert to San Diego could also be extended to Los Angeles.

Ms. Kathy S. Finley said that if the RCPG joins the Alliance, one of us would have to represent the RCPG at the meetings and report back to the RCPG.

Ms. Anderson said she has a problem with joining without knowing how they vote at the meetings, and how we would have to vote.

The Chair said she couldn't go to the Community Planning and Sponsor Group Alliance meeting this month, but will plan to go February 16.

Ms. Dorroh asked to keep the project EIR on the agenda. SDG&E says they need to access renewable energy in Imperial County. The need hasn't been proven. They need to access renewable energy.

MOTION: TO SEND A LETTER TO THE ALLIANCE (COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP ALLIANCE REGARDING THE SUNRISE POWERLINK ISSUE) THAT WE ARE INTERESTED IN JOINING UP WITH THE ALLIANCE AND WOULD LIKE TO KEEP INFORMED WITH WHAT THEY ARE DOING.

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Vivian Osborn, the Motion was **withdrawn.**

The Chair will go to the Alliance meeting in February and bring back more information to the RCPG. Ms. Mansolf will send (via email) the RCPG letters regarding the Sunrise Powerlink to RCPG members for their review.

B. Consideration/Update on TIF (Discussion and Possible Action)

Ms. Anderson said the East County Construction Coalition and others involved in building have been reviewing the TIF. The TIF is not a State mandate and there is a flaw in the way they are coming up with their figures. Road maintenance standards haven't been kept up. She has found out the amount in the "pot" is \$10 million based on the GP Update. The County is having us come from an F traffic rating to a C. This isn't fair. The County wants a split of 40/60. If there are jobs in Ramona, commuter traffic would be reduced significantly.

Ms. Dorroh said businesses have deliveries.

Mr. Deskovick said storage facilities in Ramona save people from going down the hill. All businesses generate traffic, but some keep people from going elsewhere. People with commercial proposals can talk to the County about their fees and possibly reduce them. The fee percentage needs to be more accurate and more acceptable if the fees have to be paid. For houses, fees can't be negotiated.

Mr. Tobiason said that the TIF is being applied to renovating existing buildings where money was also collected for the original development.

MOTION: TO RECOMMEND EXPANDING THE LIST OF TIF EXEMPTIONS FURTHER TO INCLUDE PROJECTS WHOSE IMPACT WOULD REDUCE TRAFFIC IMPACTS FROM COMMUTERS. FOR EXAMPLE, A BUSINESS PROJECT THAT CREATES JOBS IN A COMMUNITY WHERE PEOPLE COMMUTE ELSEWHERE TO WORK MAY BE EXEMPTED FROM THE TIF IF IT DIRECTLY REDUCES THE AMOUNT OF COMMUTERS. TO ALSO RECOMMEND ADDING PROCESSES THAT INCREASE SAFEGUARDS AND REDUCE THE SUBJECTIVITY OF FEE ASSESSMENTS; THIS SHOULD INCLUDE PUBLIC AND PLANNING GROUP OVERSIGHT.

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Angus Tobiason, the Motion **passed 9-4-0-0-2**, with Chris Anderson, Matt Deskovick, Carolyn Dorroh and Dennis Sprong voting no, and Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

MOTION: TO ASK THE COUNTY TO TAKE THE CURRENT ECONOMIC RECESSION AND CONDITIONS INTO ACCOUNT WHEN EVALUATING THE TIF STRUCTURE.

Upon motion made by Carolyn Dorroh and seconded by Chris Anderson, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

C. Consideration of Disposition of RCPG Storage Boxes, Currently Stored in an RCPG Member's Garage (Discussion and Possible Action) – Not addressed.

D. Appointment/Consideration of 2 RCPG Members Holding Seats on the Ramona Village Design Plan Committee (Discussion and Possible Action)

The Chair said she has been in contact with Rob Llewellyn and Carol Fowler over the continuation of the Village Design Plan, and designating RCPG members to represent the RCPG at meetings. It was decided that Torry Brean and Vivian Osborn would be asked if they would participate on the committee. Both have agreed to represent the RCPG. Mr. Llewellyn and Greg Roberson will represent the Design Review Board.

Ms. Dorroh said the Design Review Board members all offer expertise on subjects relating to Design Review. She felt it was important to consider why each person is recommended to serve on the Village Design Plan group. She questioned the makeup of the Village Design Plan group.

The Chair said the Chamber did not feel people were being placed with respect to their qualifications. Interest is very important. If the 2 people asked to serve on this committee wish to serve, she would like to appoint them.

Ms. Anderson said there had been talk at the DRB of rotating members. It was determined that there would be a loss of continuity, and the same 2 people should stay involved for the duration of this phase of the project.

The Chair said this is only an idea and an alternative would be considered.

Carol Fowler of the Chamber Economic Development Committee, said that the Economic Development Committee wrote the original grant. In the past the RCPG did not have enough representation on the Village Design Plan.

In response to a question regarding some background on the Village Design Plan, Ms. Anderson said that Bryan Woods chaired it, Greg Roberson was the co chair, Rob Llewellyn wrote the Design Review Guidelines. They want to get standards from the guidelines – standards are more meaningful and enforceable.

Ms. Fowler said there would be representation from the Design Review Board – they would be looking at trees and the overall look, creating design standards; the RCPG would look at land use; the RUSD would be involved, as would be the RMWD, and there would be members at large. To be considered is who would be maintaining landscaping – watering trees. The Chamber has paid for the watering of trees on Main St. as part of a past project. Other communities have developed a plan for their community. We want that for Ramona. We want input. This plan won't work if there isn't support from the Community. This needs to be a group effort.

Ms. Osborn said that she and Mr. Brean will bring back information from the meetings to the RCPG.

MOTION: TORRY BREAN AND VIVIAN OSBORN ARE APPOINTED BY THE RCPG CHAIR TO SERVE ON THE VILLAGE DESIGN PLAN COMMITTEE AS REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE RCPG AND REPORT BACK MONTHLY, OR AS REQUESTED, ON THE PLAN PROGRESS.

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 11-1-1-0-2**, with Matt Deskovick voting no, Carolyn Dorroh abstaining, and Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

ITEM 14: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (Chair)

A. Election of RCPG Officers for 2008: Chair, Vice-Chair, and Secretary (Action)

MOTION: CHAIR: ANGUS TOBIASON NOMINATES HELENE RADZIK. CHRIS ANDERSON NOMINATES ANDREW SIMMONS. LUAUNA STINES NOMINATES TORRY BREAN. MR. BREAN RESPECTFULLY WITHDRAWS.

VOTING RECORD:

Chad Anderson – Helene Radzik
Chris Anderson – Andrew Simmons
Torry Brean – Helene Radzik
Matt Deskovick – Andrew Simmons
Carolyn Dorroh – Andrew Simmons
Kathy L. Finley – Absent
Kathy S. Finley – Helene Radzik
Dennis Grimes – Helene Radzik
Kristi Mansolf – Helene Radzik
Vivian Osborn – Helene Radzik
Helene Radzik – Helene Radzik
Andrew Simmons – Absent
Dennis Sprong – Andrew Simmons
Luauna Stines – Helene Radzik
Angus Tobiason – Helene Radzik

Helene Radzik – 9 votes; Andrew Simmons – 4 votes

Helene Radzik will be Chair for 2008.

MOTION: VICE CHAIR: CHRIS ANDERSON NOMINATES DENNIS GRIMES. VIVIAN OSBORN NOMINATES TORRY BREAN.

VOTING RECORD:

Chad Anderson – Dennis Grimes
Chris Anderson – Dennis Grimes
Torry Brean – Torry Brean
Matt Deskovick – Dennis Grimes
Carolyn Dorroh – Dennis Grimes
Kathy L. Finley – Absent
Kathy S. Finley – Dennis Grimes
Dennis Grimes – Dennis Grimes
Kristi Mansolf – Dennis Grimes
Vivian Osborn – Torry Brean
Helene Radzik – Dennis Grimes
Andrew Simmons – Absent

**Dennis Sprong – Dennis Grimes
Luauna Stines – Torry Brean
Angus Tobiason – Torry Brean**

Dennis Grimes – 9 votes; Torry Brean – 4 votes

Dennis Grimes will be Vice Chair for 2008.

MOTION: SECRETARY: VIVIAN OSBORN NOMINATES KRISTI MANSOLF. NO OTHER NOMINATIONS.

Kristi Mansolf will be Secretary for 2008.

B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES 12-6-07 (Action)

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF 12-6-07.

Motion approved with **no objections**, and Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

C. Concerns From Members

The Chair said she has concerns about the order of the project presentations. She thought that usually the subcommittee reports came first, then the proponent would make a presentation on the project, and the group would comment. Once the RCPG was done commenting, the applicant could address all comments and questions, rather than addressing each one as it came up.

The order of project presentations was discussed. Ms. Mansolf said Mr. Jenkin would do something different every time, but state the order to be followed at the beginning of the meeting. She would look up how past chairs handled this matter and let the Chair know. Ms. Anderson said that by having the subcommittee report first, the tone was set for the rest of the presentation. The subcommittee can give their report and say what their recommendations are. The proponent can tell us what the project is.

D. Names Submitted for New Subcommittee Members (Action)

MOTION: TO ACCEPT CHRIS ANDERSON AS A MEMBER OF THE EAST SUBCOMMITTEE.

Motion approved, with **no objections**, and Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

E. Agenda Requests – None

F. Request from RPRA to RCPG Subcommittee Chairs – Please Present Them with a List of 2008 Meeting Dates ASAP

G. Consideration of Requesting DPLU to Fund an RCPG Member Attending a One-Day Disaster Preparedness Summit at San Diego Westin in April, 2008 (Action)

Ms. Anderson said a disaster preparedness summit will be held in San Diego for people involved with disaster preparedness planning. She is planning to go, but the workshop is \$295 and she

would like to ask if the County would consider paying for Ms. Mansolf to go, since she has been involved with disaster preparedness planning for the community.

MOTION: TO REQUEST THE COUNTY TO FUND SENDING KRISTI MANSOLF TO A DISASTER PREPAREDNESS SUMMIT IN SAN DIEGO.

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Dennis Sprong, the Motion passed 12-0-0-0-2, with Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

H. RCPG Determination of Whether to Have a Special Meeting for DPLU Presentation of DPLU 2020 Update, or to Include Presentation as Part of Regular Meeting (Action)

The Chair said that County staff are going around to communities – planning/sponsor group meetings – to present the GP Update. We can either have their presentation be part of the regular meeting or have a special meeting. Should we vote for a special meeting, she will get back to us with potential dates.

MOTION: TO HOLD A SPECIAL MEETING FOR DPLU PRESENTATION OF GP UPDATE.

Motion approved with **no objections**, and Kathy L. Finley and Andrew Simmons absent.

15. Adjournment

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf